MATHEW J. PLATKIN
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
R.J. Hughes Justice Complex
25 Market Street
P.O. Box 106
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0106
Attorney for Creditor, State of New Jersey,
Division of Taxation

By: Ramanjit K. Chawla (ID#003972002)
Deputy Attorney General
(609) 376-2862

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

|                       | ) |                   |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------|
| In re:                | ) | Case No. 21-16520 |
|                       | ) |                   |
| Aluminum Shapes, LLC, | ) | Chapter 11        |
|                       | ) |                   |
| Debtor.               | ) | Hearing Date:     |
|                       | ) |                   |

# THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY, DIVISION OF TAXATION'S OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF DEBTOR'S PLAN

The State of New Jersey, Division of Taxation ("Taxation") objects to confirmation of the Debtor's Plan of Reorganization ("the Plan").

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Confirmation of Debtor's Plan should be denied because the Plan fails to provide adequate treatment of Taxation's claim (Claims Register Nos. 70, 10037, 10042)<sup>1</sup> including priority, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Priority Claim No. 42 filed 9/28/2021 was amended to claim No. 70 filed 10/28/2021. See https://dm.epiq11.com/case/aluminumshapes/claims

administrative debt, as required under 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9). Specifically, this Court should deny confirmation of Debtor's Plan for the following reasons:

- a. Debtor's Plan does not propose to pay the full amounts
   of Taxation's priority debt;
- b. Debtor's Plan does not propose to repay priority debt over a period ending five years after the petition date;
- c. The Plan fails to include the statutory rate of postconfirmation interest;
- d. Debtor has not filed required tax returns;
- e. Debtor's Plan does not propose a monthly payment interval; and
- f. The Plan fails to provide default remedies for tax claimants.

## FACTS

- 2. On August 15, 2021, Debtor filed a petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Document Number 1.
- 3. Taxation filed the following claims against Debtor (Claims Register Numbers Nos. 70, 78, 10037, 10042) that includes:
  - a. Priority debt claim No. 70 in the amount of \$2,270.67, for New Jersey Spill Compensation and Control Tax ("SPILL") returns for tax periods January 2021, July 2021 and March 2019 and Corporation Business Tax ("CBT") for tax year 2020; and

Administrative Claim No.44 filed 9/28/2021 was amended to claim No.78 filed 11/9/2021. Claim No. 78 was amended to claim #10037 on 12/14/2021, which was amended to claim No. 10042 on 2/24/2022 See https://dm.epiq11.com/case/aluminumshapes/claims

- b. Administrative debt in the amount of \$4,275.03, for SPILL tax returns for August 2021, September 2021, December 2021 and January 2022 and Sales and Use Tax returns ("SUT") for the third and fourth quarters of 2021.
- 4. Debtor's Plan fails to provide for proper payment of Taxation's claims.

#### ARGUMENT

# POINT I

THE COURT SHOULD DENY CONFIRMATION OF DEBTOR'S PLAN OF REORGANIZATION BECAUSE IT FAILS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF 11 U.S.C. \$1129(a)(9)(C) FOR PRIORITY CLAIMS, AND \$1129(a)(9)(A) FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS.

5. Payout treatment of priority tax claims in Chapter 11 reorganizations is governed by 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)(C). This statutory provision states:

[w]ith respect to a claim of a kind specified in section 507(a)(8) of this title [Priority Tax Claims], the holder of such claim will receive on account of such claim regular installment payments in cash -- (i) of a total value, as of the effective date of the plan, equal to the allowed amount of such claim; (ii) over a period ending not later than 5 years after the date of the order for relief under section 301, 302, or 303; and (iii) in a manner not less favorable than the most favored nonpriority unsecured claim provided for by the plan (other than cash payments made to a class of creditors under section 1122(b)).

- 6. Accordingly, payment of the Taxation's priority debts must not only be provided for in full by Debtor's Plan but also must be repaid in regular monthly installments over a period ending not later than five years from the petition date to comply with § 1129(a)(9)(C). Debtor's Plan does not comply
- 7. In addition, the Plan fails to provide for repayment with post-confirmation interest at the statutory rate. As provided by § 1129(a)(9)(C)(i) a priority creditor is entitled to repayment of the total "value" of its claim as of the effective date. In order for a creditor to receive the "value" of its claim, payments must include post-confirmation interest to compensate for the true value of money.
- 8. The proper post-confirmation interest rate is the state statutory rate for repayment of taxes. Pursuant to § 511(a), "[i]f any provision of this title requires ... the payment of interest to enable a creditor to receive the present value of the allowed amount of a tax claim, the rate of interest shall be the rate determined under applicable nonbankruptcy law."
- 9. Further, pursuant to §511(b), "[i]n the case of taxes paid under a confirmed plan under this title, the rate of interest shall be determined as of the calendar month in which the plan is confirmed."

- 10. Taxation's statutory rate of interest is currently 6.75%. See N.J.S.A. 54:49-3 (unless provided for by other law, interest on tax due accrue "at the rate of three percentage points above the prime rate assessed for each month or fraction thereof, compounded annually at the end of each year, from the date the tax was originally due until the date of actual payment").
- 11. Further, Taxation objects to the confirmation of Debtor's Plan because the Plan fails to provide for the allowance of post-petition, pre-confirmation interest on, and inclusion in, the secured claims. The accrual of post-petition interest under 11 U.S.C. § 506(b) applies equally to consensual and nonconsensual liens. U.S. v. Ron Pair Enterprises, 489 U.S. 235, 240 (1989). Some courts have held that a creditor's failure to assert its right to post-petition, pre-confirmation interest under §506(b) can lead to forfeiture of those rights. U.S. v. Victor, 121 F.3d 1383, 1386 (10th Cir. 1997). Taxation requests that Debtor amend its Plan to provide that the allowed secured claims will include post-petition, pre-confirmation interest at the statutory rate pursuant to § 506(b).
- 12. Debtor's Plan also violates § 1129(a)(9)(C) because it fails to provide for a payment interval. As this section provides, Taxation's claims are to be repaid in "regular installment payments

in cash." Many courts have determined that a reasonable interval for a Chapter 11 debtor's deferred cash payments under a Chapter 11 Plan is monthly. See, e.g., In re Mason & Dixon Lines, 71 B.R. 300, 302-03 (Bankr. M.D. N.C. 1987) (monthly payments unless special or unusual circumstances exist); In re Inventive Packaging Corp., 81 B.R. 74, 79 (Bankr. D. Colo. 1987) (monthly payments); In re Mahoney, 80 B.R. 197, 200 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1987) (monthly payments).

- 13. Since these cases approve a monthly payment, Taxation request that the taxes be paid in equal monthly payments beginning on the effective date of the Plan with respect to its priority claim.
- 14. Regarding Taxation's administrative claims, Debtor's Plan does not provide for payment of its post-petition taxes. In accordance with 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)(A), such claims must be treated as follows:

with respect to a claim of the kind specified in section 507(a)(2) or 507(a)(3) of this title, on the effective date of the plan, the holder of such claim will receive on account of such claim cash equal to the allowed amount of the claim.

15. Thus, Taxation requests that the post-petition taxes be paid in full on the effective date of the plan.

- 16. In addition, Debtor has failed to file numerous tax returns. Specifically, Debtor has failed to file:
  - a. SPILL Tax returns for March 2019, September 2021,

    December 2021 and January 2022. Debtor has also
    failed to file SUT tax returns for the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>
    quarters of 2021. Finally, Debtor has failed to
    file its 2020 CBT return.
- 17. A Plan filed under Chapter 11 should not be confirmed unless all the mandatory requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 1129 are met. The burden is on the debtor to prove that all of these requirements have been complied with, therefore making the Plan capable of being confirmed. In re Jacob Wandler, 77 B.R. 728, 733 (Bankr. D. N.D. 1987).
- 18. Since Debtor has not complied with the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a) (9) (C) for priority claims, § 1129(a) (9) (D) and § 1129(a) (9) (A) for administrative claims, Taxation respectfully submits that this court should not confirm Debtor's Plan.

## POINT III

THE COURT SHOULD DENY CONFIRMATION OF DEBTOR'S PLAN BECAUSE IT FAILS TO PROVIDE DEFAULT REMEDIES.

- 19. Taxation objects to Debtor's Plan because Debtor failed to provide Taxation non-bankruptcy remedies in the event of a plan default. Although \$1123(a)(5)(G) specifies that a Plan should provide default remedies for creditors, the Debtor's Plan fails to provide any such remedies. Lack of default remedies forces creditors, especially priority tax claimants, into an untenable situation should the debtor default on Plan obligations. Without proper default Plan provisions, priority tax claimants would be forced to pursue collection of Plan defaults in this Court.
- 20. A post-confirmation default should be treated as any other contractual default under state law. Instead of increasing the burden upon creditors, the Plan should grant creditors clear and concise default remedies in forums with concurrent jurisdiction. Without adequate default remedies, the Plan deprives Taxation the ability to pursue Plan defaults in nonbankruptcy forums. Taxation requests that the following language be included in the confirmation order:

Retained Jurisdiction and Enforcement Remedies for Tax Claimants: Notwithstanding anything in this plan to the contrary, the Bankruptcy Court shall not retain

jurisdiction with respect to tax claims except for (i) resolving the amount any tax claims arising prior to and confirmation, (ii) enforcing discharge the provisions of the confirmed plan. A failure by the reorganized debtor to make a payment to holders of tax claims pursuant to the terms of the plan shall be an event of default. If the reorganized debtor fails to cure an event of default as to Plan payments on the tax claims within thirty days after receipt of written notice of default from a tax claimant, then the tax claimant may (a) enforce the entire amount of its claim; (b) exercise any and all rights and remedies such tax claimant may have under applicable nonbankruptcy law; and/or (c) seek such relief as may be appropriate in this Court.

21. The Taxation respectfully submits that this Court should not confirm this Plan because it fails to provide adequate default remedies for tax claims.

## RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Taxation respectfully reserve its right to (a) amend, supplement, or otherwise modify this Objection, (b) assert or raise such other and further objections or responses to the confirmation of the Plan based on additional information received from the Debtor(s) or other sources, and (c) assert all rights and remedies under the Bankruptcy Code and applicable non-bankruptcy state and federal law against the Debtor(s) and any non-debtor third parties.

For the foregoing reasons, the State of New Jersey, Division of Taxation respectfully requests this Honorable Court to (1) deny

Case 21-16520-JNP Doc 442 Filed 03/11/22 Entered 03/11/22 13:15:32 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 10

confirmation of the Debtor's Plan; or (2) alternatively require the debtor to modify its Plan to correct the deficiencies set forth above; and (3) to grant any other relief deemed fair and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

MATHEW J. PLATKIN
ACTING ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

By: /s/ Ramanjit K. Chawla

Ramanjit K. Chawla

Dated: 3/11/2022 Deputy Attorney General